arXiv:1302.3070v1 [nlin.SI] 13 Feb 2013

THE SQUARED EIGENFUNCTION SYMMETRIES FOR THE BTL AND CTL HIERARCHIES

JIPENG CHENG[1], JINGSONG HE[2*]

1 Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116 , P. R. China

2 Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang 315211, P. R. China

Abstract. In this paper, the squared eigenfunction symmetries for the BTL and CTL hierarchies are explicitly constructed with the suitable modification of the ones for the TL hierarchy, by considering the BTL and CTL constraints. Also the connections with the corresponding additional symmetries are investigated: the squared eigenfunction symmetry generated by the wave function can be viewed as the generating function for the additional symmetries.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik

Keywords: squared eigenfunction symmetry, the BTL and CTL hierarchies, additional symmetries.

1. Introduction

The Toda lattice (TL) equation [1], as an important integrable system, describes the motion of one-dimensional particles with exponential interaction of neighbors, which plays significant role in physics. The TL hierarchy, which is one of the most important integrable hierarchies, was first introduced by Ueno and Takasaki in [2] to generalize the Toda lattice equations [1] along the work of the KP hierarchy [3]. In [2], the analogues of the B and C types for the TL hierarchy, i.e. the BTL and CTL hierarchies, are also considered, which are corresponding to infinite dimensional Lie algebras o(∞) and sp(∞) respectively. The BTL and CTL hierarchies are also very important in the integrable system just like the TL hierarchy [2]. However, there are few researches on the BTL and CTL hierarchies in literature. So much work can be done for the BTL and CTL hierarchies.

The squared eigenfunction symmetry [4–7], also called "ghost" symmetry [8], is a kind of symmetry generated by eigenfunctions and adjoint eigenfunctions in the integrable system. The squared eigenfunction symmetry has many applications in the integrable system. For example, 1) symmetry constraint [5, 7, 9–14] can be defined by identifying the squared eigenfunction symmetry with the usual flow of the integrable hierarchy; 2) the connection with the additional symmetry [8, 15–17], which is the symmetry depending explicitly on the space and time variables [18–26]; 3) the extended integrable systems [27,28], which contain the integrable equations with self-consistent sources, can be constructed with the help of the squared eigenfunction symmetry. Recently, the squared eigenfunction symmetries for the BKP hierarchy and the discrete KP hierarchy are systematically developed in [15] and [16]

---

[*] Corresponding author. email:hejingsong@nbu.edu.cn; jshe@ustc.edu.cn.

respectively. Also the squared eigenfunction symmetry for the TL hierarchy and its connection with the additional symmetry are investigated in [17]. In this paper, we will concentrate on the construction of the squared eigenfunction symmetry of the BTL and CTL hierarchies.

The squared eigenfunction symmetry of the Toda lattice hierarchy [17] is given in the form of the Kronecker product of the vector eigenfunctions and the vector adjoint eigenfunctions. Because of the BTL and CTL constraints, the squared eigenfunction symmetry can not be defined directly from the one of the TL hierarchy and some modification must be needed. For this, we construct the squared eigenfunction symmetries of the BTL and CTL hierarchies by the suitable combination of the ones for the TL hierarchy. Then the connection with the additional symmetry is investigated: the particular squared eigenfunction symmetries generated by the wave functions can be viewed as the generating functions of the additional symmetries.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we recall some basic knowledge about the BTL and CTL hierarchies. Then, we construct the squared eigenfunction symmetry for the BTL hierarchy in Section 3. Next, in Section 4 the squared eigenfunction symmetry for the CTL hierarchy is also investigated. At last, we devote Section 5 to some conclusions and discussions.

2. THE BTL AND CTL HIERARCHIES

In this section, some basic facts about the BTL and CTL hierarchies are reviewed. One can refer to [2] for more details about the BTL and CTL hierarchies.

Firstly, consider the algebra

$$\mathscr{D}=\{(P_1,P_2)\in \text{ gl}((\infty))\times\text{gl}((\infty))\mid (P_1)_{ij}=0 \text{ for } j-i\gg 0,\ (P_2)_{ij}=0 \text{ for } i-j\gg 0\},$$

which has the following splitting:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{D}&=\mathscr{D}_+ +\mathscr{D}_-,\\ \mathscr{D}_+&=\{(P,P)\in\mathscr{D}\mid (P)_{ij}=0\text{ for }|i-j|\gg 0\}=\{(P_1,P_2)\in\mathscr{D}\mid P_1=P_2\},\\ \mathscr{D}_-&=\{(P_1,P_2)\in\mathscr{D}\mid (P_1)_{ij}=0\text{ for }j\geq i,\ (P_2)_{ij}=0\text{ for }i>j\},\end{aligned}$$

with $(P_1,P_2)=(P_1,P_2)_+ +(P_1,P_2)_-$ given by

$$(P_1,P_2)_+=(P_{1u}+P_{2l},P_{1u}+P_{2l}),\ (P_1,P_2)_-=(P_{1l}-P_{2l},P_{2u}-P_{1u}),$$

where for a matrix P, P_u and P_l denote the upper (including diagonal) and strictly lower triangular parts of P, respectively. For $(P_1,P_2),(Q_1,Q_2)\in\mathscr{D}$, we define

$$(P_1,P_2)(Q_1,Q_2)=(P_1Q_1,P_2Q_2),\quad (P_1,P_2)^{-1}=(P_1^{-1},P_2^{-1}).$$

Then the BTL (or CTL) hierarchy is defined in the Lax forms as

$$\partial_{x_{2n+1}}L=[(L_1^{2n+1},0)_+,L]\quad\text{and}\quad\partial_{y_{2n+1}}L=[(0,L_2^{2n+1})_+,L],\quad n=0,1,2,\cdots \tag{1}$$

where the Lax operator L is given by a pair of infinite matrices

$$L=(L_1,L_2)=\Big(\sum_{-\infty<i\le 1}\mathrm{diag}[a_i^{(1)}(s)]\Lambda^i,\sum_{-1\le i<\infty}\mathrm{diag}[a_i^{(2)}(s)]\Lambda^i\Big)\in\mathscr{D} \tag{2}$$

with $\Lambda=(\delta_{j-i,1})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and $a_i^{(k)}(s)$ and $a_i^{(k)}(s)$ depending on $x=(x_1,x_3,x_5,\cdots)$ and $y=(y_1,y_3,y_5,\cdots)$, such that

$$a_1^{(1)}(s)=1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{-1}^{(2)}(s)\neq 0 \quad \forall s$$

and satisfies the BTL (or CTL) constraint [2]

$$L^T=-(J,J)L(J^{-1},J^{-1})\ \big(\text{or } L^T=-(K,K)L(K^{-1},K^{-1})\big), \tag{3}$$

where $J=((-1)^i\delta_{i+j,0})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$, $K=\Lambda J$ and T refers to the matrix transpose. The BTL (or CTL) constraint on the components of the Lax operators L_1 and L_2 is explicitly showed as

$$a_i^{(k)}(s)=(-1)^{i+1}a_i^{(k)}(-s-i)\ \Big(\text{or } a_i^{(k)}(s)=(-1)^{i+1}a_i^{(k)}(-s-i-1)\Big),k=1,2. \tag{4}$$

The Lax equation for the BTL (or CTL) hierarchy can be expressed as a system of equations of the Zakharov-Shabat type:

$$\partial_{x_{2n+1}}(L_1^{2m+1})_u-\partial_{x_{2m+1}}(L_1^{2n+1})_u+[(L_1^{2m+1})_u,(L_1^{2n+1})_u]=0, \tag{5}$$

$$\partial_{y_{2n+1}}(L_2^{2m+1})_l-\partial_{y_{2m+1}}(L_2^{2n+1})_l+[(L_2^{2m+1})_l,(L_2^{2n+1})_l]=0, \tag{6}$$

$$\partial_{y_{2n+1}}(L_1^{2m+1})_u-\partial_{x_{2m+1}}(L_2^{2n+1})_l+[(L_1^{2m+1})_u,(L_2^{2n+1})_l]=0,\quad m,n=0,1,2,\cdots \tag{7}$$

When $m=n=0$, one can from (7) get the BTL equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{x_1}a_{-1}^{(2)}(1)=a_{-1}^{(2)}(1)a_0^{(1)}(1),\ \ \partial_{x_1}a_{-1}^{(2)}(s)=a_{-1}^{(2)}(s)(a_0^{(1)}(s)-a_0^{(1)}(s-1))\ (s\ge 2),\\&\partial_{y_1}a_0^{(1)}(s)=a_{-1}^{(2)}(s)-a_{-1}^{(2)}(s+1)\ (s\ge 1),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and the CTL equation

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{x_1}a_{-1}^{(2)}(0)=2a_{-1}^{(2)}(0)a_0^{(1)}(0),\ \ \partial_{x_1}a_{-1}^{(2)}(s)=a_{-1}^{(2)}(s)(a_0^{(1)}(s)-a_0^{(1)}(s-1))\ (s\ge 1),\\&\partial_{y_1}a_0^{(1)}(s)=a_{-1}^{(2)}(s)-a_{-1}^{(2)}(s+1)\ (s\ge 0),\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

by considering the corresponding constraint (4).

The Lax operator of the BTL (or CTL) hierarchy (1) has the representation

$$L=W(\Lambda,\Lambda^{-1})W^{-1}=S(\Lambda,\Lambda^{-1})S^{-1} \tag{10}$$

in terms of two pairs of wave operators $W=(W_1,W_2)$ and $S=(S_1,S_2)$, where

$$S_1(x,y)=\sum_{i\ge 0}\mathrm{diag}[c_i(s;x,y)]\Lambda^{-i},\qquad S_2(x,y)=\sum_{i\ge 0}\mathrm{diag}[c_i'(s;x,y)]\Lambda^{i} \tag{11}$$

and

$$W_1(x,y)=S_1(x,y)e^{\xi(x,\Lambda)},\quad W_2(x,y)=S_2(x,y)e^{\xi(y,\Lambda^{-1})} \tag{12}$$

with $c_0(s;x,y) = 1$ and $c_o'(s;x,y) \neq 0$ for any s, and $\xi(x,\Lambda^{\pm 1}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} x_{2n+1}\Lambda^{\pm 2n+1}$. Obviously, $W = (W_1, W_2)$ are not uniquely determined, but have the arbitrariness

$$W_1(x,y) \mapsto W_1(x,y)f^1(\Lambda), \quad W_2(x,y) \mapsto W_2(x,y)f^2(\Lambda).$$

Here $f^1(\lambda) = \sum_{i\geq 0} f_i^1\lambda^{-i}$ and $f^2(\lambda) = \sum_{i\geq 0} f_i^2\lambda^i$ ($f_0^1 = 1$, $f_0^2 \neq 0$) are formal Laurent series with constant scalar coefficients. Under an appropriate choice of $f_i(\lambda)$, $W = (W_1, W_2)$ satisfies

$$J^{-1}W_i^TJ = W_i^{-1} \text{ for BTL (or } K^{-1}W_i^TK = W_i^{-1} \text{ for CTL)}, i = 1, 2. \tag{13}$$

The wave operators evolve according to

$$\partial_{x_{2n+1}}S = -(L_1^{2n+1}, 0)_-S, \quad \partial_{y_{2n+1}}S = -(0, L_2^{2n+1})_-S, \tag{14}$$

$$\partial_{x_{2n+1}}W = (L_1^{2n+1}, 0)_+W, \quad \partial_{y_{2n+1}}W = (0, L_2^{2n+1})_+W. \tag{15}$$

The vector wave functions $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2)$ and the adjoint wave functions $\Psi^* = (\Psi_1^*, \Psi_2^*)$, can also be introduced as

$$\Psi_i(x,y;\lambda) = (\Psi_i(n;x,y;\lambda))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} := W_i(x,y)\chi(\lambda), \tag{16}$$

$$\Psi_i^*(x,y;\lambda) = (\Psi_i^*(n;x,y;\lambda))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} := (W_i(x,y)^{-1})^T\chi^*(\lambda), \tag{17}$$

with $\chi(\lambda) = (\lambda^i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\chi^*(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda^{-1})$, which satisfy the following relations:

$$L\Psi = (z, z^{-1})\Psi, \qquad L^T\Psi^* = (z, z^{-1})\Psi^* \tag{18}$$

$$\partial_{x_{2n+1}}\Psi = (L_1^{2n+1}, 0)_+\Psi, \qquad \partial_{y_{2n+1}}\Psi = (0, L_2^{2n+1})_+\Psi, \tag{19}$$

$$\partial_{x_{2n+1}}\Psi^* = -(L_1^{2n+1}, 0)_+^T\Psi^*, \qquad \partial_{y_{2n+1}}\Psi^* = -(0, L_2^{2n+1})_+^T\Psi^*. \tag{20}$$

From the BTL (or CTL) constraint (13) on the wave operators, the adjoint wave function is connected with the wave function in the following way,

$$\Psi_i^*(x,y,\lambda) = J\Psi_i(x,y,-\lambda) \quad (\text{or } \Psi_i^*(x,y,\lambda) = \lambda K\Psi_i(x,y,-\lambda)). \tag{21}$$

If vector functions $q = (q(n;x,y))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $r = (r(n;x,y))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_{2n+1}}q = (L_1^{2n+1})_uq, \qquad \partial_{y_{2n+1}}q = (L_2^{2n+1})_lq, \\ \partial_{x_{2n+1}}r = -(L_1^{2n+1})_u^Tq, \qquad \partial_{y_{2n+1}}r = -(L_2^{2n+1})_l^Tr, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

we call them **vector eigenfunction** and **vector adjoint eigenfunction** for the BTL (or CTL) hierarchy respectively. Obviously, the wave functions Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are eigenfunctions, and the adjoint wave functions Ψ_1^* and Ψ_2^* are the adjoint eigenfunctions. From the BTL (or CTL) constraint (3), one can know that

$$\begin{aligned} (L_1^{2n+1})_u^T = -J(L_1^{2n+1})_uJ^{-1}, \qquad (L_2^{2n+1})_l^T = -J(L_2^{2n+1})_lJ^{-1} \\ \Big(\text{or } (L_1^{2n+1})_u^T = -K(L_1^{2n+1})_uK^{-1}, \qquad (L_2^{2n+1})_l^T = -K(L_2^{2n+1})_lK^{-1}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Thus given the vector eigenfunction q, Jq (or Kq) will be the adjoint eigenfunction for the BTL (or CTL) hierarchy. This fact connected with (3) and (21) shows that in the BTL (or CTL) hierarchy, the adjoint case can be derived directly from the usual case. Therefore, we can only consider the usual case in the study of the BTL (or CTL) hierarchy.

At last, we end this section with the introduction of the additional symmetries of the BTL and CTL hierarchies. The Orlov-Shulman operator [20, 21] is defined as

$$M \equiv (M_1, M_2) = W(\varepsilon, \varepsilon^*)W^{-1}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \mathrm{diag}[\mathrm{s}]\Lambda^{-1}, \quad \varepsilon^* = -\varepsilon^{\mathrm{T}} + \Lambda,$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} M\Psi = (\partial_z, \partial_{z^{-1}})\Psi, &\qquad [L, M] = (1, 1), \\ \partial_{x_{2n+1}} M = [(L_1^{2n+1}, 0)_+, M], &\qquad \partial_{y_{2n+1}} M = [(0, L_2^{2n+1})_+, M]. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The additional symmetry [29] can be defined by introducing the additional independent variables $x^*_{m,l}$ and $y^*_{m,l}$,

$$\partial_{x^*_{m,l}} W = -(A_{1ml}(M_1, L_1), 0)_- W, \quad \partial_{y^*_{m,l}} W = -(0, A_{2ml}(M_2, L_2))_- W, \tag{26}$$

where $A_{iml}(M_i, L_i)$ are polynomials in L_i and M_i. Denote $A_{ml}(M, L) = (A_{1ml}(M_1, L_1), A_{2ml}(M_2, L_2))$, then

- in BTL case,
$$A_{ml}(M, L) = M^m L^l - (-1)^l L^{l-1} M^m L; \tag{27}$$
- in CTL case,
$$A_{ml}(M, L) = M^m L^l - (-1)^l L^l M^m. \tag{28}$$

3. THE SQUARED EIGENFUNCTION SYMMETRY FOR THE BTL HIERARCHIES

In this section, we shall construct the squared eigenfunction symmetry for the BTL hierarchy.

Given a couple of vector eigenfunctions q_1 and q_2, **the squared eigenfunction flow** of the BTL hierarchy can be defined by its actions on the wave operators,

$$\partial_\alpha W_1 = (q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l W_1, \quad \partial_\alpha W_2 = -(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_u W_2, \tag{29}$$

where $(A \otimes B)_{ij} = A_i B_j$ for the vectors A and B.

According to (10), one can further have the squared eigenfunction flow on the Lax operator

$$\partial_\alpha L_1 = [(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1], \quad \partial_\alpha L_2 = -[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_u, L_2]. \tag{30}$$

Next we will show that the definitions above is well-defined: (29) or (30) is consistent with the BTL constraint (3).

Proposition 1. *∂_α is consistent with the BTL constraint (3), i.e.* $(\partial_\alpha L_i^T)J + J(\partial_\alpha L_i) = 0, i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} & J(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1) + (q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)^T J \\ = \quad & J(q_1 \otimes q_2 - q_2 \otimes q_1)J + J(q_2 \otimes q_1 - q_1 \otimes q_2)J = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by noting that $q_1 \otimes Jq_2 = (q_1 \otimes q_2)J^T = (q_1 \otimes q_2)J$ and $(q_1 \otimes q_2)^T = q_2 \otimes q_1$. Thus

$$J(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l + (q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l^T J = 0, \tag{31}$$

$$J(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_u + (q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_u^T J = 0, \tag{32}$$

from the fact if $JA + A^T J = 0$, then $JA_l + A_l^T J = 0$ and $JA_u + A_u^T J = 0$ (see [2]).

Then for $i = 1$, from (3)(30),

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_\alpha L_1^T)J + J(\partial_\alpha L_1) \\ = \quad & [(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1]^T J + J[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1] \\ = \quad & -[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l^T, L_1^T]J + J[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1] \\ = \quad & (q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l^T JL_1 - JL_1J^{-1}(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l^T J + J[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1] \\ = \quad & -J(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l L_1 + JL_1(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l + J[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1] \\ = \quad & -J[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1] + J[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The case $i = 2$ can be similarly proved. □

Thus ∂_α is indeed well-defined. we next will show that this squared eigenfunction flow is indeed a kind of symmetry for the BTL hierarchy, and thus is called **the squared eigenfunction symmetry**.

Proposition 2.

$$[\partial_\alpha, \partial_{x_{2n+1}}] = [\partial_\alpha, \partial_{y_{2n+1}}] = 0. \tag{33}$$

Proof. In fact, according to (1), (15), (29) and (30)

$$\begin{aligned} & [\partial_\alpha, \partial_{x_{2n+1}}]W_1 \\ = \quad & \partial_\alpha\Big((L_1^{2n+1})_u W_1\Big) - \partial_{x_{2n+1}}\Big((q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l W_1\Big) \\ = \quad & [(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1^{2n+1}]_u W_1 + (L_1^{2n+1})_u(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l W_1 \\ & -((L_1^{2n+1})_u q_1 \otimes Jq_2)_l W_1 + (q_1 \otimes (L_1^{2n+1})_u^T Jq_2)_l W_1 + ((L_1^{2n+1})_u q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l W_1 \\ & -(q_2 \otimes (L_1^{2n+1})_u^T Jq_1)_l W_1 - (q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l (L_1^{2n+1})_u W_1 \\ = \quad & [(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l, L_1^{2n+1}]_u W_1 + [(L_1^{2n+1})_u, (q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1)_l]W_1 \\ & +[(q_1 \otimes Jq_2 - q_2 \otimes Jq_1), (L_1^{2n+1})_u]_l W_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= [(q_1\otimes Jq_2-q_2\otimes Jq_1)_l,(L_1^{2n+1})_u]_uW_1+[(L_1^{2n+1})_u,(q_1\otimes Jq_2-q_2\otimes Jq_1)_l]W_1\\ &\quad +[(q_1\otimes Jq_2-q_2\otimes Jq_1)_l,(L_1^{2n+1})_u]_lW_1\\ &= [(q_1\otimes Jq_2-q_2\otimes Jq_1)_l,(L_1^{2n+1})_u]W_1+[(L_1^{2n+1})_u,(q_1\otimes Jq_2-q_2\otimes Jq_1)_l]W_1=0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $q_1\otimes (L_1^{2n+1})_u^T Jq_2 = q_1\otimes Jq_2(L_1^{2n+1})_u$ is used in the third identity. While $[A_u,B_u]_l = [A_l,B_l]_u=0$ is used in the fourth identity.

Similarly, $[\partial_\alpha,\partial_{x_{2n+1}}]W_2=[\partial_\alpha,\partial_{y_{2n+1}}]W_1=[\partial_\alpha,\partial_{y_{2n+1}}]W_2=0$ can be proved. □

Define the following double expansions

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(\lambda,\mu) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-l-m-1}A_{1m,m+l}(M_1,L_1),\\ Y_2(\lambda,\mu) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-l-m-1}A_{2m,m+l}(M_2,L_2), \end{aligned}$$

which can be viewed as the generator of the additional symmetries for the BTL hierarchy. This double expansions can be related with the wave functions in the following way [29] by considering (21),

Lemma 3. *For the BTL hierarchy,*

$$Y_1(\lambda,\mu) = \lambda^{-1}(\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)\otimes J\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)-\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)\otimes J\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)), \tag{34}$$

$$Y_2(\lambda,\mu) = \lambda^{-1}(\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})\otimes J\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})-\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})\otimes J\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})). \tag{35}$$

In [29], there are some mistakes in the corresponding results about $Y(\lambda,\mu)$ for BTL and CTL (see Proposition 7 and 13 in [29]), and the correct ones should be without "$(\quad)_-$".

We denote the squared eigenfunction symmetry generated by $\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)$ and $\lambda^{-1}\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)$ as ∂_{α_1}, while the one generated by $-\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})$ and $\lambda^{-1}\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})$ as ∂_{α_2}. Then

$$\partial_{\alpha_1}W_1 = \lambda^{-1}(\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)\otimes J\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)-\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)\otimes J\Psi_1(x,y;\mu))_lW_1, \tag{36}$$

$$\partial_{\alpha_1}W_2 = -\lambda^{-1}(\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)\otimes J\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)-\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)\otimes J\Psi_1(x,y;\mu))_uW_2, \tag{37}$$

and

$$\partial_{\alpha_2}W_1 = -\lambda^{-1}(\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})\otimes J\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})-\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})\otimes J\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1}))_lW_1, \tag{38}$$

$$\partial_{\alpha_2}W_2 = \lambda^{-1}(\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})\otimes J\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})-\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})\otimes J\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1}))_uW_2. \tag{39}$$

Further from (26) (34) and (35), we have

Proposition 4. *The squared eigenfunction symmetries ∂_{α_1} and ∂_{α_2} are the generators of the additional symmetries for the BTL hierarchy, that is,*

$$\partial_{\alpha_1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-k-m-1}\partial_{x^*_{m,m+k}}, \tag{40}$$

$$\partial_{\alpha_2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-k-m-1}\partial_{y^*_{m,m+k}}. \tag{41}$$

Thus we have establish the relation between the squared eigenfunction symmetry and the additional symmetry.

4. The Squared Eigenfunction Symmetry for the CTL Hierarchies

In this section, the squared eigenfunction symmetry for the CTL hierarchy will be given.

Similar to the case of the above section, given two eigenfunctions q_1 and q_2, one can define **the squared eigenfunction flow** of the CTL hierarchy by its actions on the wave operators,

$$\partial_\alpha W_1 = (q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1)_l W_1, \quad \partial_\alpha W_2 = -(q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1)_u W_2. \tag{42}$$

According to (10), the action of the squared eigenfunction flow on the Lax operator is

$$\partial_\alpha L_1 = [(q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1)_l, L_1], \quad \partial_\alpha L_2 = -[(q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1)_u, L_2]. \tag{43}$$

The next proposition shows that the definitions above is well-defined.

Proposition 5. *∂_α is consistent with the CTL constraint (3), i.e.* $(\partial_\alpha L_i^T)K + K(\partial_\alpha L_i) = 0, i = 1, 2.$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & K(q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1) + (q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1)^T K \\ = \;& K(q_1\otimes q_2 + q_2\otimes q_1)K^T + K(q_1\otimes q_2 + q_2\otimes q_1)K = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by noting that $K^T = -K$. Then

$$K(q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1) + (q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1)^T K = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$K(q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1) + (q_1\otimes Kq_2 + q_2\otimes Kq_1)^T K = 0, \tag{45}$$

from the fact if $KA + A^TK = 0$, then $KA_l + A_l^TK = 0$ and $KA_u + A_u^TK = 0$ (see [2]).

The rest of the proof is similarly to the case of the BTL hierarchy. □

Thus ∂_α is indeed well-defined. By the same way as the BTL case, one can get the following proposition, which shows that this squared eigenfunction flow is indeed a kind of symmetry for the CTL hierarchy, and thus is called **the squared eigenfunction symmetry**.

Proposition 6.

$$[\partial_\alpha, \partial_{x_{2n+1}}] = [\partial_\alpha, \partial_{y_{2n+1}}] = 0. \tag{46}$$

Define the generator of the additional symmetries for the CTL hierarchy as the following double expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_1(\lambda,\mu) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-l-m-1}A_{1m,m+l}(M_1,L_1),\\
Y_2(\lambda,\mu) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-l-m-1}A_{2m,m+l}(M_2,L_2).
\end{aligned}
$$

This double expansions can be related with the wave functions in the following way [29] by considering (21),

Lemma 7. *For the CTL hierarchy,*

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_1(\lambda,\mu) &= \Psi_1(x,y;\mu)\otimes K\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)+\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)\otimes K\Psi_1(x,y;\mu), && (47)\\
Y_2(\lambda,\mu) &= \lambda^{-2}\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})\otimes K\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})+\mu^{-2}\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})\otimes K\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1}). && (48)
\end{aligned}
$$

If denote the squared eigenfunction symmetry generated by $\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)$ and $\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)$ as ∂_{α_1}, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\alpha_1}W_1 &= (\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)\otimes K\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)+\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)\otimes K\Psi_1(x,y;\mu))_l W_1, && (49)\\
\partial_{\alpha_1}W_2 &= -(\Psi_1(x,y;\mu)\otimes K\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)+\Psi_1(x,y;-\lambda)\otimes K\Psi_1(x,y;\mu))_u W_2, && (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

And denote the squared eigenfunction symmetry generated by $-\lambda^{-1}\Psi_2(x,y;\lambda^{-1})$ and $\lambda^{-1}\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})$ as ∂_{α_2}, that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\alpha_2}W_1 &= -\lambda^{-2}(\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})\otimes K\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})+\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})\otimes K\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1}))_l W_1, && (51)\\
\partial_{\alpha_2}W_2 &= \lambda^{-2}(\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1})\otimes K\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})+\Psi_2(x,y;-\lambda^{-1})\otimes K\Psi_2(x,y;\mu^{-1}))_u W_2. && (52)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (26), (47) and (48), we have,

Proposition 8. *The squared eigenfunction symmetries ∂_{α_1} are the generators of the additional symmetries $\partial_{x^*_{ml}}$ for the CTL hierarchy, that is,*

$$
\partial_{\alpha_1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu-\lambda)^m}{m!}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-k-m-1}\partial_{x^*_{m,m+k}}. \tag{53}
$$

And the relation between the squared eigenfunction symmetry ∂_{α_2} and the additional symmetries $\partial_{y^*_{ml}}$ for the CTL hierarchy is as follows:

$$
\partial_{\alpha_2} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda^{-k-1}\partial_{y^*_{0,k}}. \tag{54}
$$

Remark: Usually, one may think that the result about the CTL hierarchy should be parallel to those about the BTL hierarchy. But here (54) is different from (41). Because of the coefficients of (48), it is difficult to construct the squared eigenfunction symmetry corresponding to the generating function of the additional symmetries $\partial_{y^*_{ml}}$. But when $\mu = \lambda$, we can construct ∂_{α_2} as (51) and (52). In this case, ∂_{α_2} can be viewed as the generating function of $\partial_{y^*_{0,k}}$. In order to get the parallel result to the BTL hierarchy, some modifications of (42) and (43) may be needed.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

The squared eigenfunction symmetries for the BTL and CTL hierarchies are constructed explicitly (see (29), (30), (42) and (43)) in the suitable combination of the ones of the TL hierarchy by considering the BTL and CTL constraint. And the relation with the additional symmetry is also investigated, that is, the squared eigenfunction symmetry can be viewed as the generating function of the additional symmetries when the defined eigenfunctions are the wave functions (see Proposition 4 and 8). These theories are expected to be applied in the study of the symmetry constraints for the BTL and CTL hierarchies and the corresponding additional symmetries.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the NSFC (Grant No. 11226196) and "the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities" No. 2012QNA45

REFERENCES

[1] M. Toda. Vibration of a chain with nonlinear interaction. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 22 (1967) 431-436.

[2] K. Ueno and K. Takasaki. Toda lattice hierarchy, In *Group representations and systems of differential equations* (Tokyo, 1982), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 4, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp.1-95.

[3] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations, in *Nonlinear integrable systems - classical theory and quantum theory*, ed. by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1983)pp.39-119.

[4] W. Oevel. Darboux theorems and Wronskian formulas for integrable system I: constrained KP flows. Physica A 195 (1993) 533-576.

[5] W. Oevel and W. Schief. Squared eigenfunctions of the (modified) KP hierarchy and scattering problems of Loewner Type. Rev. Math. Phys 6 (1994) 1301 - 1308.

[6] W. Oevel and S. Carillo. Squared eigenfunction symmetries for soliton equations: Part I. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 217 (1998) 161-178.

[7] W. Oevel and S. Carillo. Squared eigenfunction symmetries for soliton equations: Part II. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 217 (1998) 179-199.

[8] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva. Method of squared eigenfunction potentials in integrable hierarchies of KP type. Comm. Math. Phys. 193 (1998) 493-525.

[9] Y. Cheng and Y. S. Li. The constraint of the KP equation and its special solutions. Phys. Lett. A 157 (1991) 22-26.

[10] J. Sidorenko and W. Strampp. Symmetry constraints of the KP hierarchy. Inverse Problems 7(1991) L37-L43.

[11] B. Konopelchenko and W. Strampp. New reductions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili and two dimensional Toda lattice hierarchies via symmetry constraints. J. Math. Phys. 33(1992), 3676-3686.

[12] Y. Cheng. Constraints of the KP hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 33(1992) 3774-3782.

[13] I. Loris and R. Willox. Symmetry reductions of the BKP hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 40(1999) 1420-1431.

[14] H. F. Shen and M. H. Tu. On the constrained B-type Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy: Hirota bilinear equations and Virasoro symmetry. J. Math. Phys. 52(2011) 032704.

[15] J. P. Cheng, J. S. He and S. Hu. The "ghost" symmetry of the BKP hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 51(2010) 053514.

[16] C. Z. Li, J. P. Cheng, K. L. Tian, M. H. Li and J. S. He. Ghost symmetry of the discrete KP hierarchy. arXiv:1201.4419.

[17] J. P. Cheng and J. S. He. On the squared eigenfunction symmetry of the Toda lattice hierarchy. Submitted.

[18] A. S. Fokas and B. Fuchssteiner. The hierarchy of the Benjamin-Ono equation. Phys. Lett. A 86(1981) 341-345.

[19] H. H. Chen, Y. C. Lee and J. E. Lin. On a new hierarchy of symmetry for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. Physica D 9 (1983)439-445.

[20] A. Yu. Orlov and E. I. Schulman. Additional symmetries for integrable systems and conformal algebra repesentation. Lett. Math. Phys. 12 (1993) 171-179.

[21] M. Adler, T. Shiota and P. van Moerbeke. A Lax representation for the vertex operator and the central extension. Comm. Math. Phys. 171 (1995) 547-588.

[22] L. A. Dickey. On additional symmetries of the KP hierarchy and Sato's Bäcklund transformation. Comm. Math. Phys. 167 (1995) 227-233.

[23] K. Takasaki. Toda lattice hierarchy and generalized string equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 181 (1996) 131-156.

[24] M. H. Tu. On the BKP hierarchy: Additional symmetries, Fay identity and Adler-Shiota- van Moerbeke formula. Lett. Math. Phys. 81 (2007) 91-105.

[25] J. S. He, K. L. Tian, A. Foerster and W. X. Ma. Additional symmetries and string equation of the CKP hierarchy. Lett. Math. Phys. 81 (2007) 119-134.

[26] K. L. Tian, J. S. He, J. P. Cheng and Y. Cheng. Additional symmetries of constrained CKP and BKP hierarchies. Sci. China Math. 54 (2011) 257-268.

[27] X. J. Liu, Y. B. Zeng, and R. L. Lin. A new extended KP hierarchy. Phys. Lett. A 372 (2008)3819-3823.

[28] X. J. Liu, Y. B. Zeng and R. L. Lin. An extended two-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchy and two-dimensional Toda lattice with self-consistent sources. J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008) 093506.

[29] J. P. Cheng, K. L. Tian and J. S. He. The additional symmetries for the BTL and CTL hierarchies. J. Math. Phys. 51 (2011) 053515.